CEST, ASL, and magnetization transfer contrast: How similar pulse sequences detect different phenomena
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Chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST), arterial spin labeling (ASL), and magnetization transfer contrast (MTC) methods generate different contrasts for MRI. However, they share many similarities in terms of pulse sequences and mechanistic principles. They all use RF pulse preparation schemes to label the longitudinal magnetization of certain proton pools and follow the delivery and transfer of this magnetic label to a water proton pool in a tissue region of interest, where it accumulates and can be detected using any imaging sequence. Due to the versatility of MRI, differences in spectral, spatial or motional selectivity of these schemes can be exploited to achieve pool specificity, such as for arterial water protons in ASL, protons on solute molecules in CEST, and protons on semi-solid cell structures in MTC. Timing of these sequences can be used to optimize for the rate of a particular delivery and/or exchange transfer process, for instance, between different tissue compartments (ASL) or between tissue molecules (CEST/MTC). In this review, magnetic labeling strategies for ASL and the corresponding CEST and MTC pulse sequences are compared, including continuous labeling, single-pulse labeling, and multi-pulse labeling. Insight into the similarities and differences among these techniques is important not only to comprehend the mechanisms and confounds of the contrasts they generate, but also to stimulate the development of new MRI techniques to improve these contrasts or to reduce their interference. This, in turn, should benefit many possible applications in the fields of physiological and molecular imaging and spectroscopy.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

MRI is a versatile technique that appears to have unlimited possibilities for imaging not only anatomy, but also physiological and chemical properties. This wealth of information can be accessed by using pulse sequences that are composed of series of RF and magnetic field gradient pulses differing only in length, number, strength, and timing. For instance, arterial spin labeling (ASL),1-5 magnetization transfer contrast (MTC),6-9 and chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST)10-19 are three methods that have existed for several years in both preclinical and human imaging. ASL is a non-invasive method for measuring tissue perfusion, while CEST is a relatively new technology that can detect low (mMolar) concentrations of molecules through the presence of groups with exchangeable protons, such as hydroxyls (OH),20-23 amides (NH),24-28 and amines (NH2).29-32 Chemical exchange is just one type of magnetization transfer (MT)
used in imaging. In high resolution NMR, MT is a general term used to describe the process where magnetization is transferred from one spin population to another. Unfortunately, in clinical MRI, the term MT is used conventionally to specifically denote saturation contrast originating from semi-solid macromolecules, such as for instance myelin in the white matter.\textsuperscript{33-35} To avoid confusion, we will refer to the latter as conventional MTC. CEST signal, on the other hand, originates from the endogenous mobile proteins and metabolites in biological tissues, or from exogenously administered contrast agents.

Because ASL, CEST, and MTC are used to measure completely different physiological and molecular properties, they have developed into separate fields. However, physicists and engineers in these fields are actually designing pulse sequences that are very similar, often without being aware of the overlap. This becomes more obvious when realizing that all of these methods include very similar preparation periods to achieve three mechanistic components: (i) some type of selective magnetic labeling of a proton pool that is stored as a change in longitudinal magnetization; (ii) delivery and/or transfer of this label to a water proton pool in a tissue region of interest; (iii) accumulation of the label for the purpose of increasing the SNR. This preparation is then followed by detection, which can be done using any kind of image acquisition sequence. As such, a lot of the principles used in ASL pulse sequence design can be applied to CEST/MTC, and vice versa.\textsuperscript{36} In addition, it is therefore not surprising that both ASL and CEST images are contaminated by the MTC effect.

Through the years, many variations of both ASL, CEST, and MTC methods have been developed and the respective research fields continue to grow.\textsuperscript{16,37} The purpose of this review is to describe the similarities and differences between these methods, both in terms of the processes involved as well as the building blocks of the pulse sequences that allow their measurement. By applying this knowledge, technical development for both ASL and CEST/MTC techniques can be further advanced by sharing of the pulse sequence building blocks and of the principles developed independently in each field.

2 | PRINCIPLES OF MAGNETIC LABEL GENERATION, DELIVERY, AND ACCUMULATION

ASL is a non-invasive MRI method for measuring blood flow (perfusion), i.e., the delivery of a certain amount of blood (mL) to a certain amount of tissue (100 g) in a certain amount of time (min). In ASL, the labeling of the arterial blood water protons is accomplished by applying one or more RF pulses to tissue-feeding arteries. When the labeled blood water molecules reach the capillaries, they exchange with the tissue water pool,\textsuperscript{1,2} inducing an MRI signal decrease. For ASL, this is generally induced using inversion of the longitudinal magnetization, but saturation approaches (1/2 of the possible signal) exist too. The net signal difference between a label and a control imaging experiment (without RF application or with RF application at opposite frequency offset) is proportional to the blood flow, i.e., the signal from static spins is subtracted out (Figure 1). The perfusion-weighted signal in ASL is only a few percent of the total MRI signal; thus, the experiment needs to be repeated several times to obtain sufficient SNR.

CEST detects molecular information by using RF pulses to magnetically label exchangeable protons.\textsuperscript{10,17} The labeled protons exchange with the water protons detected in MRI through physical spin exchange, generally referred to in the field as “chemical exchange” as it happens between sites that are chemically different. After exchange, the label appears as a signal loss (“saturation”) on the water signal, generally on the order of a few percent. However, this is molar signal concentration and thus two to three orders of magnitude larger than the concentration of the solute (millimolar), which is a consequence of many repeated label-exchange events during the preparation period before image detection. The principles of acquisition and processing for a basic CEST experiment are described in Figure 2. CEST results are often displayed using a saturation spectrum (Z-spectrum), showing the normalized water intensity as a function of saturation frequency. Notice that, similar to many ASL sequences, a control acquisition is applied without labeling or data are analyzed by subtracting a reference signal from labeling at opposite frequency in the Z-spectrum in an attempt to compensate for interfering effects.
The CEST description in Figure 2 is for a single pool of exchangeable protons, leading to a simple Z-spectrum with a single resonance at higher frequency relative to water. However, magnetic label can be transferred between protons within molecules and this effect also shows up in the Z-spectrum if the label is ultimately transferred to water protons (i.e., in a relayed manner). For instance, when labeling non-exchangeable protons, such as aliphatic groups in mobile proteins, the label is transferred to neighboring protons if they have a dipolar coupling and cross-relaxation occurs. This so-called nuclear Overhauser enhancement (NOE) can be relayed throughout the molecule (Figure 3A), a process called spin diffusion, and ultimately be transferred to water protons by means of exchange. Thus, resonances of aliphatic origin can also appear in the Z-spectrum and, based on the mechanism, are indicated as relayed NOEs, or rNOEs.

In Figure 3B, the Z-spectrum of egg white is shown, which clearly contains CEST effects of amide protons and guanidinium protons (arginine side groups in proteins) as well as rNOE signals. The speed of spin diffusion increases with slower rotational motion and is almost instantaneous in solid-like material. This is the cause of the conventional magnetization transfer effect (Figure 3C), where the distributed label can ultimately be transferred to solvent water protons either by means of bound water (dipolar transfer followed by water exchange) or by means of exchangeable protons similar to the two mechanisms for CEST signal. Notice that, in mobile proteins, the direct dipolar transfer to bound water has been shown not to be a significant contributor. MTC MRI thus closely resembles the rNOE-CEST process, except that MTC detects solid-like macromolecules with broad lineshapes (microsecond $T_2$). This can be seen in Figure 3D, where the mobile protein resonances (CEST and rNOE) are visible with distinct resonance line-shapes at low RF irradiation field strength $B_1$, while the strong MTC effects extend far beyond the spectral range of the solution MR spectrum. The MTC effects also increase faster with $B_1$ and will become fully dominant in the aliphatic region above $B_1$ values of a few microteslas.

The most obvious similarity between ASL, CEST, and MTC is that all three methods use RF pulses to achieve selective magnetic labeling of a certain pool of protons, which is stored as a change in longitudinal magnetization (saturation or inversion based). In ASL, these can be spatially selective RF pulses, requiring simultaneous application of a gradient pulse to cause a different spatial water frequency between the labeling plane and the imaging slices, or nonselective RF pulses with velocity-based selection. In CEST/MTC, the separation of the exchanging protons and water is achieved by RF frequency selection based on their inherent chemical shift (NMR spectral frequency) difference and without application of a simultaneous gradient pulse. The saturation/excitation RF pulses are applied with the frequency tuned to the offsets of the solute proton pool of interest in the proton spectrum (CEST) or far outside the proton spectrum (MTC). This parity between methods is exemplified in Figure 4.
After spatial labeling of the spins in ASL or spectral labeling of the spins in CEST/MTC, a water signal reduction in the imaging volume is accomplished consequent to label delivery to the water proton pool. In ASL methods that use spatial selection, a postlabeling delay (PLD) of 1-2 s is typically necessary (Figure 4D) to allow the labeled spins to reach the imaging slices and exchange (over the blood-brain barrier) with nonlabeled water molecules in tissue, where the label then accumulates. This delay is much shorter in velocity-selective ASL (VS-ASL) and not necessary in CEST/MTC experiments (Figs. 4E,F) where labeling and transfer occur continuously. However, the CEST/MTC preparation is still long (1-3 s or even more) due to the need to repeat the label-exchange process to achieve sensitivity enhancement. In all three methods, the label buildup is counteracted by $T_1$ relaxation. In addition to the continuous wave spin labeling in ASL, CEST, and MTC (Figure 4), a large number of pulsed labeling methods has been developed, as well as some hybrid methods. In the following sections, we will focus predominantly on the sequences that show similarity for ASL, CEST, and MTC.

### 3 | COMPARISON OF ASL, CEST, AND MTC PULSE SEQUENCES

The magnetic labeling in ASL, CEST, and MTC can be achieved by continuous saturation, pulsed saturation, or excitation methods (including inversion) that ultimately lead to a reduction (partial saturation) of the tissue water signal. In addition, this labeling can be modified (filtered) based on motional properties of the spins in terms of flow speed or rate of translational diffusion. Below we briefly review these labeling schemes and discuss similarities and differences.

#### 3.1 | Continuous labeling

##### 3.1.1 | Continuous ASL

In the continuous ASL (CASL) method, arterial blood water protons are labeled continuously in a thin slice proximal to the imaging plane (Figs. 4A,D), until a steady state is reached in the tissue longitudinal magnetization (usually after 2 to 4 s when label accumulation and $T_1$ relaxation balance out). The
labeling uses so-called flow-driven adiabatic inversion, which is performed by applying a low-power continuous RF pulse ($\gamma B_1 t$) with a magnetic field gradient in the flow direction. While this only saturates static spins, the spins that move in the gradient direction are inverted due to the variation in their resonance frequency. To achieve optimal inversion/labeling efficiency, the RF amplitude and gradient strength need to be tuned to the velocity of the arterial blood at the labeling site. An important aspect is the need for a PLD to reduce the sensitivity to heterogeneities in transit time of labeled blood by allowing time for the majority of label to enter the tissues. For a more detailed description of CASL methods, see Barbier et al. 45

3.1.2 Continuous wave-CEST

Continuous wave (CW)-CEST is the most widely applied technique in the CEST field mainly due to its easy implementation on animal scanners, and the well-established theory. 15,46-48 It closely resembles the CASL sequence (Figure 4D versus E) with two major differences: there is no gradient applied during RF labeling and the PLD is not necessary in CW-CEST. The appropriate saturation power level for optimum labeling efficiency in CW-CEST is chosen based on the exchange rate of the exchanging protons under study. Under the approximation of slow exchange on the NMR frequency time scale, the saturation efficiency $\alpha$ of CW-CEST with respect to the solute-water proton exchange rate $k_{sw}$ is described by Zhou et al. 46

$$\alpha \approx \frac{(\gamma B_1)^2}{(\gamma B_1)^2 + (k_{sw})^2}$$

where $B_1$ is the RF irradiation field strength. It can be seen that high saturation power is needed to achieve reasonable saturation efficiency for fast-exchanging protons, such as hydroxyl and amine groups with exchange rates higher than 1 kHz under physiological conditions. Therefore, it is challenging to detect small molecules with fast-exchanging protons on clinical scanners where the maximum $B_1$ field is limited in duration and the assumption of slow exchange will not apply due to the spectral frequency difference between these protons and water being smaller than the exchange rate at low $B_1$. In contrast, high saturation efficiency can be easily reached for slow-exchanging amide protons in the peptide bonds of small tissue proteins and peptides, as they exchange with an average rate of approximately 29 Hz and the frequency offset of 3.5 ppm versus water corresponds to 448 Hz (or $\Delta \omega = 2800$ rad/s) at 3T. The assumption for slow exchange ($k_{sw} << \Delta \omega$), therefore, applies readily. When using power levels of 1-2 $\mu$T or less, CEST MRI has a large contribution of these slow exchanging protons.

CW-CEST experiments are usually performed by observing the reduced water signal due to saturation ($S_{sat}$) as a function of the offset of the saturation pulse. The acquisition of
these Z-spectra (Figures 2 and 3) is the most time-consuming step in CEST studies. The CEST acquisition time can be reduced by recording Z-spectra in a single scan using the ultra-fast Z-spectra CEST sequence,49-52 which is identical to the CASL sequence (Figure 4D). By applying a gradient during the saturation pulse, the saturation frequency offsets become a function of spatial position along the gradient direction in the sample. The disadvantages of this technique are low SNR and interference with spatial information because it uses the pixels for encoding CEST spectra at different frequencies. Thus, while it is a perfect technique for CEST agent screening using samples that are spatially homogeneous,52 it needs to be further developed for in vivo applications53,54 where tissue composition differs spatially.

3.1.3 CW-MTC

The CW-MTC sequence is identical to the CW-CEST sequence, but much higher B1 levels are typically used. Interestingly, while the short T2 of semisolid protons causes a Z-spectrum without fine structure, these protons can actually be excited selectively simply by going outside the proton spectral range for solutions (±5 ppm versus the water frequency). However, it should be clear from Figures 3D and 4C that CEST effects within the proton spectral range cannot be measured separately from MTC using the simple CW approach. This can, however, be done with some pulsed approaches by exploiting the difference in T2, which will be discussed below.

3.2 Single-pulse labeling

3.2.1 Pulsed ASL

In pulsed ASL (PASL), labeling is performed with a short inversion pulse (5-20 ms) over an extended volume (i.e., a thick slab)55-57 (Figure 5A). Thus, whereas CASL relies on the continuous labeling of blood as it flows through a plane, PASL labels a large blood volume instantaneously and with high labeling efficiency. Even though adiabatic inversion pulses are used to obtain thick inversion slabs with sharp edges, inversion profile imperfections are still present and a gap between the labeling slab and the image volume is generally needed. A popular variant of PASL is FAIR (flow alternating inversion recovery).55-57 A global inversion is used in the labeling case, thereby creating labeling inside and outside the tissue of interest. For the control image, a slice selective inversion pulse is used, inverting only the magnetization in the tissue of interest. The original PASL implementation has been adapted and improved in several ways, which has been reviewed before.45 PASL preparation schemes use composite inversion pulses, but as they cause a single inversion they are treated in this single-pulse section. Examples of these are the TILT59 (Figure 5B), UNFAIR,60 and FAIRER61 methods.

While the inversion efficiency is improved compared with CASL, the PASL techniques generally still have a lower signal-to-noise.29 This can be explained by the fact that PASL results in less labeled blood because the effective bolus duration (usually under 1 s) is limited by the coverage of the RF coil. Furthermore, in PASL, the spatial extent of the inversion slab causes the inverted blood protons to have a longer transit time to the imaging volume, on average, leading to a lower ASL signal due to T1 relaxation of the inverted proton magnetization. Still, PASL has proven to be a popular alternative to CASL, mostly due to its simpler implementation.58

3.2.2 Selective Inversion Recovery

While PASL does not have a direct counterpart in CEST, where labeling and label transfer need to be repeated to attain sufficient SNR, it has in MTC for a sequence called selective inversion recovery (SIR).62,63 (Figure 5C). SIR uses a low-power selective inversion pulse on the free water protons, thus not affecting the majority of the semisolid macromolecular protons that resonate outside the pulse excitation range. This closely resembles the FAIR ASL sequence in which the blood water magnetization inside the imaging slices is
inverted, while it is at equilibrium outside these slices. After the inversion, the water signal recovery follows a bi-exponential apparent relaxation pathway due to the magnetization transfer between water and the macromolecules. Under a first order approximation considering the exchange rate between macromolecules and water $k_{mw}$ to be much higher than the relaxation rates of water $R_{1w}$ and macromolecules $R_{1m}$, the two relaxation times $R_{1}^{-}$ in SIR experiments are given by Xu et al and Zaiss et al.

$$R_{1}^{-} \approx \frac{R_{1w} + f_{m}R_{1m}}{1 + f_{m}}$$

$$R_{1}^{+} \approx k_{mw}(1 + f_{m}) + (R_{1w} + R_{1m})/2$$

The relaxation rate $R_{1}^{-}$ is the observed water $R_{1}$ in a conventional MRI $T_{1}$ measurement by the inversion recovery method, while the relaxation rate $R_{1}^{+}$ determines the initial recovery process in the SIR experiments, which is dominated by the exchange rate $k_{mw}$. In analogy to this influx of fresh macromolecular magnetization to water in SIR, the water magnetization selectively inverted in the imaging slice using FAIR also recovers quicker due to fresh blood flowing into the imaging slice. The above equations (Equation 2) can not only be used to extract MTC information by fitting the bi-exponential curves, but they also provide great insight into how the macromolecules in tissue impact the observed water relaxation. The $T_{1}$ relaxation time of macromolecules is less than 1 s, and generally shorter than the tissue free water relaxation time (approximately 1-3 s at fields from 3 to 11.7T, respectively). Therefore, the observed water relaxation $R_{1}^{-}$ is shortened by the MTC effect, and the magnitude of this effect depends on the macromolecular proton concentration (fraction $f_{m}$ in Equation 2). This is why $T_{1}$ maps on both human and animal brains measured by MRI usually resemble the $f_{m}$ map determined in quantitative MT experiments. It is important to realize that the $R_{1}^{-}$ component in SIR is only observable in the initial few hundred milliseconds due to the fact that $R_{1}^{-} \approx k_{mw} > 10$ Hz. Fast-exchanging semisolid protons, however, affect the water signal only during the inversion pulse in SIR and do not contribute to the time-dependent buildup afterward. Therefore, the SIR technique mainly detects the slow-exchanging components of the MTC pools. The measured exchange rate of MTC by SIR is approximately 15 Hz for GM, and 10 Hz for WM, which is far slower than the exchange rates detected by conventional CW-MTC methods.

3.3 | Multi-pulse labeling

3.3.1 | Pseudocontinuous ASL

In addition to CASL and PASL, a hybrid method called pseudocontinuous ASL (PCASL, Figure 6A) has recently emerged. This approach uses repeated short RF pulses to label the blood by mimicking a flow-driven adiabatic inversion process similar to CASL. The PCASL method has proven to be very useful because it exploits the higher labeling efficiency of PASL and the higher SNR of CASL, without the need of continuous RF transmission. Recently, a white paper for ASL came out where PCASL was the recommended labeling technique, primarily due to the high labeling efficiency, easy use on standard MRI hardware, and compensation of MTC interference effects. PCASL is normally implemented as a single time-point experiment with a PLD of 1.5-2 s, background suppression, and a fast 2D or 3D readout.

3.3.2 | Pulsed CEST

Similar to the CASL sequence, CW-CEST is not easy to use on clinical MRI scanners due to hardware (amplifier) and specific absorption rate limitations. Consequently, most CEST experiments on human MRI scanners use some type of pulsed-CEST approach. Two types of pulses are used for CEST: saturation based or excitation based, and a train of such pulses is needed to enhance the detection sensitivity. A pulsed CEST experiment can be performed similarly to CW-CEST, i.e., by measuring the water signal saturation as a function of saturation or excitation frequency, but its information content can be extended by measuring the water signal reduction as a function of inter-pulse spacing (transfer delay time), i.e., similar to quantitative pulsed MTC experiments.
While pulsed CEST using saturation pulses is sometimes just used as an equivalent to a CW-experiment with small time intervals to adhere to amplifier specifications, it has an added flexibility in that variation of these time intervals can be used to filter out different CEST components based on exchange transfer rate. Even more versatility can be introduced using excitation pulses, where modules of excitation labeling and label transfer (so-called label-transfer modules or LTMs) are repeated to achieve the sensitivity enhancement required. Within these LTMs, the labeling of exchanging protons using excitation pulses can be achieved in many different ways, as exemplified in Figure 7. The versatility of pulsed CEST in terms of separating different types of exchanging protons by their relaxation time, line-shape or exchange rate has stimulated the development of many advanced pulsed CEST methods such as the frequency-labeled exchange, chemical exchange rotation transfer (CERT), variable delay multi-pulse, length-and-offset-varied saturation, and transfer-rate-edited CEST sequences, each of which offer their own unique advantages. The principle of using repeated LTMs has extended the possibilities of CEST from being a simple saturation transfer approach to one in which spin systems can be edited in a way similar to spectroscopy, but then with much increased sensitivity. Recently, even heteronuclear frequency-labeled exchange approaches have been introduced, and these are just some examples of several editing schemes that are possible.

Among the multi-pulse CEST techniques, the CERT (Figure 7C) method most closely resembles PCASL. In CERT, the labeling efficiency is maximum at the 180 degree flip angle and minimal with a flip angle of 360 degrees, and the CEST contrast is obtained by subtracting two images acquired under these conditions. In PCASL, the labeling and control images are acquired by changing the phase of every second pulse in the labeling pulse train.
FIGURE 8 Simple generalized labeling schemes for motion-selective labeling including refocusing of field inhomogeneities and a flip-back pulse to store remaining transverse magnetization as longitudinal magnetization. A, Using a simple Stejskal-Tanner gradient pair. B, Using composite gradient combinations that can be designed to reduce eddy currents and, for diffusion sensitization, to average sensitivity to motion direction (linear, planar, and spherical/trace encoding). N is the number of LTMs. C, Alternatively, there can be two or three consecutive gradients applied in the same direction, but polarity switched, to improve background gradient compensation or to achieve flow compensation. The parameter “M” can thus be 1–3, depending on the number of gradient directions used (x and/or y and/or z). At low gradient strength, velocities are encoded, while diffusion sensitization requires higher gradient strengths. A minimum gradient is needed to remove spurious signals excited by the refocusing pulse in case of B1-inhomogeneity. While 90° pulses are used here, they can be changed to other flip angles; composite 180° RF pulses can be used to reduce B1 inhomogeneity effects. In addition, gradients can be added to the RF pulses to include spatial selection, or the 180° pulse lengthened for frequency selection.

(6A), which leads to different flip angles for the water proton spins. While this phase approach should also be possible for pulsed CEST to remove the MTC confound, it may be only suitable for phantom studies because the excitation profile of a pulse train with alternating phases is a periodic function, which makes it difficult to apply in vivo due to the complicated CEST contributions.

Different from the CEST experiments, where a diversity of exchanging protons contributes in tissue, ASL labels only the water spins in the blood vessel. Therefore, many pulsed approaches in CEST used to separate different exchanging pools (e.g., based on resonance frequency or transfer rate) do not have direct counterparts in the ASL field. One special approach is the frequency-labeled exchange method,77,83 where the spins are phase labeled using their rotation frequency based on the frequency offset relative to water. This information is then stored as a change in the magnitude of the longitudinal magnetization and transferred to water protons (Figure 7E).

3.4 | Labeling using motional filtering

Importantly, the principle of magnetization labeling is not limited to the above examples for ASL, CEST, and MTC, using frequency or spatially selective RF pulses and interpulse delays. In principle gradient pulses can be included to further edit for specific proton pools, thus sensitizing the experiment to the motional properties of the pool components, for instance the velocity of their flow or the rate of molecular translational diffusion.

3.4.1 | Velocity encoding

In the VS-ASL experiment,95–102 uni-directional flow encoding is combined with nonselective (partial or full) RF saturation or excitation of arterial water protons. As the pulses are non-selective, excitation is close to the tissue of interest and long PLDs not required. In Figures 8A,8B, some generalized modules that can be used for velocity encoding are illustrated. The most basic one is in Figure 8A,95 where saturation of the flowing spins is achieved while static spins do not have signal loss. In the reference scan the gradients are removed. However, there are several issues with this basic approach, especially the limited flow selection profile (i.e., limited saturation efficiency), and a difference in diffusion weighting and eddy current effects with the control scan.

The labeling efficiency can be greatly increased using the generalized approach of N repeated modules in Figure 8B, where static spins experience a flip angle of 2 Nα, while only a small fraction (narrow velocity band) of the flowing spins experience such a rotation, leaving most flowing spins unperturbed due to the phase acquired during the period that the magnetization is transverse and experiences velocity encoding. In the control scan the negative lobes are made positive and all spins experience a 2 Nα angle. Labeling efficiencies close to those of PCASL have been reached,98 when using VS inversion (VSI: 2Nα=180°) and half of these using VS saturation (VSS: 2Nα=90°). However, the latter one has the advantage of tissue saturation in both control and label experiment, often advantageous for removal of background effects.

3.4.2 | Diffusional encoding

Diffusional filtering can also be used to select for a certain subset of spins. This has been useful for compartmental filtering, e.g., to separate intra- and extracellular water in erythrocytes103 or metabolites in cell suspensions104–106 even allowing the measurement of metabolite transport across the cell membrane.104 This can be achieved using either a simple Stejskal-Tanner gradient pair enclosing the refocusing pulse (Figure 8A, but now stronger gradients for diffusion weighting) or composite gradient pulses (one or more bipolar pairs) to compensate for eddy currents (Figure 8C). To select
certain motion characteristics, the gradient strength can be varied, but a minimum gradient strength is always needed to remove spurious signals excited by the 180° pulse itself. The gradients can in principle be in any direction when studying isotropic diffusion, but will be affected by gradient direction in anisotropic tissues when the diffusion time becomes sufficiently long to reach spatial boundaries, the chance of which is reduced when using short bipolar pairs such as in Figure 8C.

In anisotropic tissue, the composite gradient schemes can then be used for different types of encoding (e.g., spherical/trace type combinations).\textsuperscript{107-109} Diffusion-filtered exchange schemes have been implemented to study cell membrane permeability using either spectroscopy (filter exchange spectroscopy\textsuperscript{110}) or imaging (filter-exchange imaging\textsuperscript{111-113}), respectively. In the latter experiments, after the initial diffusion filter to suppress fast diffusing water molecules, the compartments mix, leading to a signal buildup due to the fact that the diffusion constant, $T_2$ and $T_1$ of the fast diffusing component are larger than those of the slow diffusing component.

When measuring the diffusion constant of the signal as a function of exchange time, an apparent exchange rate (AXR) can be reduced, which reflects microscopic tissue properties. While the precise properties may be hard to determine in real tissue with multiple compartments, there could potentially be some useful applications. The rate AXR could of course also be determined by measuring $T_2$ or $T_1$ of the signal building up. This buildup process can be described by expressions similar to the CEST equations for slow exchange on the MR time scale. Diffusion weighting with stimulated echo sequences has also been applied to study exchange transfer in solution, called gradient-enhanced exchange spectroscopy.\textsuperscript{114}

\section{Contamination of ASL and CEST Signals by MTC}

ASL and CEST methods study protons of mobile molecules, which have an MR signal over a limited frequency range due to their finite $T_2$, allowing the use of spatially or spectrally selective labeling. During spatial labeling, a spatial frequency difference is imposed with gradient application, while spectral labeling uses the frequency offset induced by the magnetic field of choice. However, as should be clear from Figure 4C, the labeling approaches for both methods also affect the pool of protons in solid-like tissue macromolecules that have a very broad MR line-shape due to their very short $T_2$ (microsecond range). This causes partial saturation of this proton pool that, through cross-relaxation mechanisms and chemical exchange, decreases the magnetization of the pool of free water protons and thus the image signal.\textsuperscript{33,115,116} The semisolid MTC effect increases strongly with $B_1$ strength (Figure 3D) and can easily be of the same order of magnitude as the signal changes due to perfusion or chemical exchange. The effect of MTC contamination in ASL is illustrated in Figure 9A.

Despite labeling blood water in a slice spatially remote from the imaging slice (green), there is a small signal saturation occurring in the imaging slice due to the broad asymmetric MTC component in the Z-spectrum. Because the ASL perfusion image is calculated by subtracting the labeling and control images, MTC effects in the labeling image can lead to perfusion overestimation unless either accounted for in the analysis, compensated for in the control image, or minimized by using a low-$B_1$ acquisition. Compensation for MTC effects has been an important aspect in the development of new ASL sequences, with several sequences having identical labeling schemes and differing only in MTC compensation strategy.\textsuperscript{117-119}

MTC asymmetry based contamination is also a major issue in CEST studies as shown in Figure 9C where Z-spectra from a mouse brain acquired with two different saturation powers are shown. The CEST signal of amide, guanidinium and aliphatic protons (rNOEs) can be clearly seen at low saturation power,\textsuperscript{32,85,120} (Figure 9C, top) while the MTC effect and its asymmetry with respect to the water resonance frequency are visible but small. With higher saturation power $B_1$, the direct water saturation and especially the MTC become dominant, the latter with a complicated line-shape with maximum intensity around -2 to -4 ppm, i.e., originating from the aliphatic peaks in semisolid proteins and lipids, and overlapping with narrower rNOEs from mobile protons.\textsuperscript{17,27}

The MTC suppression techniques in both ASL and CEST follow similar principles. Usually two images, i.e., control and label, with different ASL and CEST contrast but with the same MTC effects are collected. Then, the MTC can be removed by subtracting the control and labeling images. Based on whether the labeling frequency of the control and labeling image in the Z-spectrum are identical or different, or whether no control scan is used, the MTC suppression methods can be divided into three types.

\subsection{Symmetric MTC compensation techniques}

The most common way to approach reduction of MTC contamination in both ASL and CEST is by collecting images at two frequencies symmetric with respect to the water resonance (Figures 2D and 9B). This will allow the MTC effects to be subtracted out under the assumption that they are symmetric with respect to water resonance. In practice, however, the MTC effect may not be compensated in the subtraction due to asymmetry of the MTC effect with respect to the water frequency\textsuperscript{115,121} in the particular tissue, for instance.
the brain, which has strong myelin-based aliphatic MTC effects.

In ASL studies, the symmetric MTC compensation techniques use distal labeling in the control experiment. While a large fraction of the MTC (symmetric component) can be removed, the asymmetric component in brain tissue cannot (see Figure 9A). Fortunately, this MTC contamination can be minimized by applying a high field gradient during RF labeling, which leads to large frequency offsets between labeling and imaging slices. This is equivalent to a spatial narrowing of the Z-spectrum (Figure 9B) reducing the contamination from MTC asymmetry. In the CASL method, MTC contamination is severe due to the relatively small magnetic field gradient applied during the extended RF labeling. In the original CASL implementation, Williams et al. used a distal labeling, i.e., with the labeling slice placed symmetrically on the other side of the imaging plane in the control experiment. However, such an approach is only valid under some special situations such as a single slice under low labeling power. When performing multi-slice perfusion imaging, the issue can be solved by the simultaneous proximal and distal irradiation technique proposed by Talagala et al in which half the RF power is applied both proximally and distally to the image volume in the control experiment.122

MTC effects are less severe in PALS, but not negligible. In conventional PALS, as in CASL, the standard approach is to reproduce the MTC effects in the control experiment. In the original EPISTAR method the MTC suppression is achieved by applying a distal inversion pulse in the control experiment. Again, this only truly compensates for a single-slice acquisition and symmetric MTC, and Edelman et al later modified the sequence to work for multi-slice acquisition.123 The multi-slice EPISTAR uses two subsequent proximal inversion pulses of half the RF power for the control image and, therefore, is still susceptible to asymmetric MTC effects. However, these are likely very small for a single-pulse experiment.

In CEST studies, the simple MTRasym analysis approach with right-left signal subtraction demonstrated in Figure 2D does not provide MTC compensation as a consequence of the MTC asymmetry and the occurrence of rNOEs of mobile macromolecules. However, the method is still widely used in CEST field because it a simple and efficient way to remove water direct saturation and the symmetric part of MTC, which constitute the majority of the contaminations to the CEST signal at high B1. However, due to the incomplete suppression of MTC asymmetry and the mobile rNOEs, most of the CEST contrasts reported based on MTRasym

---

**FIGURE 9** A,B, The contamination in ASL due to MTC asymmetry is illustrated with low (A) and high (B) field gradient application during RF labeling. When a gradient is applied during RF labeling, the Z-spectrum becomes a function of spatial position along the gradient direction. A, The water signal difference at the imaging slices (indicated by a red arrow) will be contaminated by MTC effects due to MTC asymmetry. B, With a strong gradient, the signal difference due to MTC asymmetry will be reduced due to the large offset at the imaging slices with respect to the labeling plane. The MTC Z-spectra were simulated using a continuous wave saturation of 1 μT, and assuming an MTC pool with offset at -2.3 ppm and T2 of 0.1 ms. C, Illustration of the Z-spectra of mouse brain recorded using CW-CEST sequence with saturation powers of 0.5 μT and 1 μT, respectively. A clear MTC asymmetry is visible that will affect the typical Z-spectra asymmetry analysis in CEST experiments (see Figure 2)
4.2 | Single frequency MTC compensation techniques

Because the MTC effect is frequency dependent and asymmetric with respect to water, many successful removal methods use the irradiation frequency as a constant for both labeling and control images. Best is to use pulses of the same power and just opposite phase, but if that is not possible the contamination removal then has to exploit one of the unique properties of the MTC pool, such as its extremely short $T_2$ relaxation time or the magnitude of the saturation transfer rate. This can be achieved by varying the pulse power, pulse length or the inter-pulse delay. Due to the use of a unique frequency, we call them single frequency MTC compensation techniques.

For pulsed-ASL, Golay et al suggested a simple MTC compensation approach for the TILT sequence, in which the label is performed with two subsequent 90° pulses of equal phase (causing inversion) and the control using two subsequent 90° pulses of opposite phase (i.e., no net excitation) (Figure 5B). Wong et al suggested that the subtraction error in PASL is dominated by slice profile imperfections, and that the MTC contamination is small. Therefore, they developed a PASL variant focused on improving the inversion profiles, called PICORE. Here, the labeling is performed with the normal inversion slab as in EPISTAR, and the control consists of an inversion pulse with the same off-resonance frequency as the inversion pulse in the label experiment, but in the absence of a slab-selective gradient.

The MTC effect can also be well suppressed in PCASL. This is achieved due to two reasons; first, the gradient amplitude during the RF pulses is much larger than in CASL, causing the offset of the RF irradiation to be far away from the water resonance in the Z-spectrum, which results in a greatly reduced MTC asymmetry contamination. Second, and most importantly, the control scan of the PCASL method is recorded by changing the phase of every second RF pulse in the labeling module by 180°, reproducing the MTC effect from the label experiment.

Although the MTC compensation method used in PCASL has been applied in CEST field, it introduces many issues due to the complicated pulse profile of the pulse train with alternating phase. In the CERT method, the same pulse shapes are used for both label and control experiments, but with different pulse length and power. The "average" (time-integral) power of the pulses is maintained to obtain equivalent labeling of the MTC pool, while the flip angle is varied to achieve different labeling efficiency for the exchangeable solute protons. Another strategy is removing the MTC based on its saturation transfer characteristics, which has been demonstrated using the variable delay multi-pulse pulsed CEST sequence in Figure 6B. It is important to realize though that the average exchange rate of the MTC component (50-60 Hz) measured with the variable delay multi-pulse sequence depends on the tissue components saturated or excited (and thus the $B_1$ power and length). This average is for all fast- and slow-exchange protons in the saturated MTC pool, while the exchange rate of the slow-exchanging protons in MTC is known to be only of the order of 6-20 Hz. However, the suppression for a particular set of parameters can be achieved by experimentally optimizing the pulse delay (mixing time). The variable delay multi-pulse buildup curve, i.e., the CEST signal as a function of mixing time, shows distinguishable characteristics for protons with different exchange rates. The MTC can then be removed by subtracting images acquired at two mixing times at which the MTC signals are equal, while the APT and rNOE-CEST signals will be retained due to their much lower exchange rates.

4.3 | MTC compensation without a control scan

Finally, MTC components in pulsed CEST can be removed using the time evolution properties of the magnetization in the Fourier transform of the Z-spectrum. This simple approach exploits the principle that the $T_2$ of semi-solid protons is in the microsecond range, leading to a fast decay in the time domain that can be filtered out. This has been used in the first in vivo applications of the frequency-labeled exchange method and also suggested to be possible through additional postprocessing of the Z-spectrum, using the so-called time-domain removal of irrelevant magnetization (TRIM) approach.

The MTC contamination has been well solved in the ASL field. However, it is still a challenging problem in CEST studies, especially due to the occurrence of rNOEs in both semisolid tissue components (i.e., the MTC effect) and mobile proteins.

5 | HARDWARE, DUTY CYCLE, AND SATURATION EFFICIENCY CONSIDERATIONS

On the clinical scanners, the RF pulse length usually is limited to less than 100-200 ms due to the RF amplifier restrictions (maximum pulse length and duty-cycle set by the manufacturer to protect the equipment). Also, the specific absorption rate potentially is a consideration for both ASL and CEST sequences. Therefore, the CASL method is generally not used on clinical scanners anymore, primarily due to the high demand on RF duty cycle. However, CASL is...
widely used in animal scanners, where specific absorption rate is not an issue. MTC effects are of course still an issue, especially due to the increased MTR asymmetry at high field strengths, but this can be overcome using a two-coil setup\textsuperscript{128,129} with a dedicated small labeling coil placed over the feeding arteries. As an additional bonus, because such a coil has a limited spatial coverage, the RF labeling pulses do not affect the macromolecules in the tissue of interest and the ASL signal will be free from confounding MTC effects.

Similar to the CASL method, CW-CEST also is not commonly used on clinical scanners. To reach maximum CEST signal, the length of the saturation pulse in CW-CEST would be best set to approximately 2 to 3 s for low saturation powers.\textsuperscript{13,14,51-54} Unfortunately, a much shorter saturation time (<1 s) has been mandated for use on clinical scanners due to RF amplifier limitations.\textsuperscript{55-57} Recently, however, a novel parallel RF transmission based scheme has been proposed to elongate the total saturation time, enhancing the CEST signal on clinical scanners.\textsuperscript{130} Most clinical scanners now have a dual transmit mode for the body coil. During the RF saturation pulse, these two RF amplifiers can be operated in an alternating manner, allowing the RF amplifiers to operate at several $\mu$T power while staying within the specified duty-cycle. A saturation time of several seconds for CW-CEST can be reached with this technique even for body coil excitation on human scanners. Usually, the saturation pulse in CW-CEST is a rectangular shaped pulse, which is close to optimum for slow-exchanging protons. The saturation pulse shape can be optimized with the gradient ascent pulse engineering method, and it was found that a triangle type saturation pulse with rising amplitude can provide higher saturation transfer efficiency on fast-exchanging protons compared with a rectangular shaped pulse with the same RF power.\textsuperscript{131}

6 | POTENTIAL

The above comparison of ASL, CEST and MTC pulse sequences shows many similarities, indicating that several modules of these sequences can be borrowed from each other. For example, image acquisition and background suppression ideas commonly applied in ASL can be implemented in CEST, which is also suffering from low SNR and can be easily deteriorated by motion. Similarly, the important principle exploited in CEST, the accumulation of signal for sensitivity enhancement before image acquisition, can also be applied in the ASL field.

6.1 | Cine-ASL

A first example of the use of repeated LTMs in ASL is the cine-ASL approach,\textsuperscript{122,133} for which the timing diagram is shown in Figure 10A. The sequence combines an electrocardiogram-gated cine-FLASH sequence with a steady-pulsed arterial labeling approach to achieve quasi-continuous tagging. The arterial labeling is achieved by a spatially selective adiabatic hyperbolic secant inversion pulse, which labels the arterial blood just before it enters the myocardial tissue by means of the coronaries. The control scan is performed by positioning symmetry to the labeling slab with respect to the short axis imaging plane (Figure 10A). Figure 10B shows an example of cine-ASL perfusion maps for the healthy mouse heart. This cine-ASL scheme can be treated as an extension of the EPISTAR ASL method. By repeating the EPISTAR labeling module, the perfusion signal can be accumulated in the imaging plane similar to the CEST technique, which results in a doubling of the sensitivity compared with the single-pulse version called the Look-Locker FAIR Gradient Echo (LLFAIRGE) method.\textsuperscript{134} Cine-ASL allows quantitative assessment of myocardial blood flow in both human and animals. Because of the requirement for a symmetric Control/Tag slab configuration in the EPISTAR labeling module, however, only a single slice perfusion...
image can be recorded in cine-ASL. This can be solved by repeating some alternative labeling schemes such as TILT. The cine-ASL sequence also has an analogous CEST/MTC sequence called steady state CEST, in which a segmented echo-planar imaging (EPI) readout is implemented after every short saturation pulse (see Figure 10C). Using a cine-FLASH readout, a CEST-encoded cardiac cine MRI sequence has been developed, called CardioCEST.

6.2 | Multi-pulsed and Steady-Pulsed Imaging Labeling

Further examples of LTM-repetition in ASL are the pulsed imaging labeling sequences, developed for rapid acquisition of single and multi-slice perfusion images. In these approaches (Figs. 11A,B), the UNFAIR labeling module, which is modified from the FAIR labeling module by adding one extra global inversion pulse, is repeated instead of the EPISTAR module used in cine-ASL. Again, the principle is similar to pulsed CEST in Figure 7, but here a mixing time ($t_{mix}$) is used to indicate the delivery period to the tissue. For systems where all blood can be labeled with a single LTM, pulsed imaging labeling would not have much of an advantage over UNFAIR. For instance, when performing pulsed imaging labeling on mice using a 72 mm volume coil for transmission, the mixing time can be as long as 2 s for the brain perfusion image because the coil can label all blood in the body and the bolus duration is basically a full body circulation time. However, in most human and some large animal cases, the excitation range of the transmit coil is limited and repeated labeling (bolus application) such as in the multi-pulsed imaging labeling (MPIL) approach (Figure 11A) can enhance the signal of interest. Figure 11C shows a calculation of enhancement comparing one versus multiple LTMs for a bolus duration length of 0.5 s, a $t_{mix}$ of 1 s, and a typical TR of 5 s (at 11.7T, using $T_1$ of arterial blood 2.8 s).

Instead of multiple LTMs followed by acquisition, it is also possible to include multi-slice image acquisition within
the mixing time and repeat that module, which is done in the steady-pulsed imaging labeling (SPIL) approach (Figure 11B). Here a steady state is generated that can be optimized for maximum efficiency with respect to the image data acquisition and labeling efficiency. Therefore, SPIL can achieve high acquisition efficiency compared with conventional PASL methods. Typical SPIL multi-slice perfusion images of a normal mouse brain are presented in Figure 12A. In addition, Figures 12B,C show examples of application to stroke and tumor models, respectively. Although, T$_2$-weighted images do not show clear enhancement in the region with acute ischemia (Figure 12B), SPIL perfusion images show clear hypoperfusion in the affected right hemisphere. In the tumor model (Figure 12C), hypoperfusion in the tumor region can be observed, consistent with previous studies on the same model.

In addition to the typical advantages of PASL methods (i.e., no MTC background interference and straightforward implementation) SPIL has several unique advantages for performing multi-slice perfusion imaging: (i) it is robust with respect to pulse sequence settings: Arterial blood is saturated by a train of RF pulses, which is insensitive to the pulse flip angle; (ii) the steady state perfusion signal simplifies the quantification of cerebral blood flow, and makes it less prone to potential confounds affecting other PASL methods such as finite bolus duration and label transition bands; (iii) SPIL perfusion images do not require correction for slice-specific postlabel acquisition delays. Therefore, SPIL has potential for widespread application to ASL studies, at least in preclinical models. The MPIL sequence resembles the on-resonance variable delay multiple-pulsed CEST/MTC approach, in which a train of binomial pulses similar to the UNFAIR modules in MPIL is used and the inter-pulse delay can be varied to observe signal build-up.

6.3 | Multiple VSS modules in VS-ASL

The multiple VSS modules in VS-ASL (mm-VSASL) approach is another example of how the idea of accumulating signal before acquisition can be used to create new ASL sequences and boost the perfusion signal. In this sequence, as also suggested by Norris and Schwarzbauer in their...
original study, the VSS module is repeated several times before image acquisition (Figure 13), similar to the MPIL technique. Simulations and experimental results on human brain (Figure 14) suggest that mm-VSASL with two VSS modules can reach 20% SNR improvement compared with the VS-ASL with a single VSS module, which is significantly higher than PASL and comparable to PCASL in terms of SNR (Figure 14). The mm-VSASL approach can also be extended to a steady state version following the cine-ASL and SPIL ideas.

### 6.4 Studying multiple transfer events

The above examples, while sometimes including multiple transfers within a molecule (intramolecular NOEs), all are based on a “single” exchange transfer event between either
two spatial compartments (ASL) or two molecules, i.e., solutes and water (CEST) or semi-solid structures and water (MTC). However, MRI can of course also detect multiple transfers if they are on the appropriate time scale (i.e., within a few $T_1$ s). For instance, early MTC studies reported a coupling between some metabolites and water through the semi-solid components in the tissue.\(^{138-141}\) This has recently led to the proposal of an approach that allows study of the exchange interaction between two molecules, a solute and a semi-solid target, through indirect monitoring with water imaging.\(^{142}\) The principle for this technique, which can be used to assess low-affinity molecular binding, is explained and exemplified in Figure 15. It is called the “IMMOBILISE” approach, for “iMaging of MOlecular BLinding using Ligand Immobilization and Saturation Exchange”. Notice that the principle of label refreshment resembles CEST, but is different in that the large substrate pool functions as a reservoir of saturated protons (saturation pump), while protons have to again be saturated in CEST. The data in Figures 15E,F illustrate that the effect only occurs when the target is immobilized, as the transfer speed upon binding to mobile bovine serum albumin is not fast enough. Two important aspects of the approach are that (1) only very low $B_1$ values are needed for generating the saturated solute proton pool and that (2) the difference spectrum has high-resolution features, reflecting the signal origin in the fast tumbling solute molecules. This high specificity is not available in conventional MTC experiments that tend to use indiscriminate labeling and strong RF fields.

7 | CONCLUSIONS

Although the CEST, ASL, and MTC techniques are detecting different phenomena, they closely resemble to each other in terms of labeling and acquisition approaches. Therefore, when developing technologies for either field, it is of interest to have knowledge of all three techniques to get insight into principles that can be applied more generally. The three recent examples of cine-ASL, SPIL, and mm-VSASL illustrate the strength of implementing the idea of enhancing perfusion signal before acquisition, in a way similar to CEST approaches. In addition, novel label transfer approaches that can assess phenomena such as molecular binding (IMMOBILISE) are already being developed. More advanced ASL and CEST techniques will probably be developed in the future by borrowing principles from sequences already existing in some of the techniques.
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